

December 1964

## Masthead Volume 67, Issue 1

Follow this and additional works at: <https://researchrepository.wvu.edu/wvlr>

---

### Recommended Citation

*Masthead Volume 67, Issue 1*, 67 W. Va. L. Rev. (1964).

Available at: <https://researchrepository.wvu.edu/wvlr/vol67/iss1/1>

This Prefatory Matter is brought to you for free and open access by the WVU College of Law at The Research Repository @ WVU. It has been accepted for inclusion in West Virginia Law Review by an authorized editor of The Research Repository @ WVU. For more information, please contact [ian.harmon@mail.wvu.edu](mailto:ian.harmon@mail.wvu.edu).

# West Virginia Law Review

---

Published by the College of Law of West Virginia University. Official  
publication of The West Virginia Bar Association.

---

## STUDENT BOARD OF EDITORS

John Ralph Lukens, *Editor in Chief*  
Fred Adkins, *Associate Editor*  
Victor Alfred Barone, *Associate Editor*  
Ward Day Stone, Jr., *Associate Editor*  
Boyd Lee Warner, *Associate Editor*

Charles Edward Barnett  
Ralph Judy Bean, Jr.  
Frank Cuomo, Jr.  
David Gail Hanlon  
Charles Ellsworth Heilmann  
Lester Clay Hess, Jr.  
Dennis Raymond Lewis

Charles Marion Love, III  
John Payne Scherer  
Larry Lynn Skeen  
William Walter Smith  
Robert Willis Walker  
Robin Wiseman

---

Willard D. Lorensen, *Faculty Editor in Charge*  
Agnes A. Furman, *Business Manager*

---

## STUDENT NOTES

### Implied Revocation of Wills In West Virginia

"A man may as oft as he will make a new testament, even unto his last breath, neither is there any cautel under the sun to prevent this liberty, but no man can die with two testaments, and, therefore the last and newest is of force so that if there were a thousand testaments, the last of all is the best of all and maketh void the former."<sup>1</sup> Thus wrote Swinburn, one of the earliest writers on wills.<sup>2</sup> That the last is "best" and voids all prior wills is now a principle followed in a minority of jurisdictions in the United

---

<sup>1</sup> *Kearns v. Roush*, 106 W. Va. 663, 667, 146 S.E. 729, 730 (1929); SWINBURN ON TESTAMENTS, § 14. Formerly the word "testament" referred exclusively to the final disposition of personal property. This interpretation carried over to the United States in some jurisdictions. See *Wyers v. Arnold*, 347 Mo. 413, 147, S.W.2d 644 (1941); *Aubert's Appeal*, 109 Pa. 447, 1 Atl. 336 (1885). Today, however, the common usage is to employ the words "testament," "will," and "last will and testament" interchangeably. *Occidental Life Ins. Co. v. Powers*, 192 Wash. 475, 74 P.2d 27 (1937).

<sup>2</sup> 5 HOLDSWORTH, HISTORY OF ENGLISH LAW 14 (1924). Holdsworth states that Swinburn's text was the most practically useful book of the period, having been first published in 1590.